

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,122	11/20/2003	R. Christopher Carney	ETH-5081	9095
27777 PHILIP S. JOH	7590 11/14/2007 NSON		EXAMINER	
JOHNSON & JOHNSON		ELVE, MARIA ALEXANDRA		
	N & JOHNSON PLAZA WICK, NJ 08933-7003		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1793	
		•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	11/14/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		Application No.					
		10/718,122	CARNEY ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		M. Alexandra Elve	1793				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Poperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 August 2007</u> .						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Applicati	on Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 December 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

10/718,122 Art Unit: 1793

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kimura et al. (JP 01-215290) in view of Bosch (DE 39-38-779 A1), Takahashi (USPN 4,581,939) and Mosavi et al. (USPN 6,252,195).

Kimura et al. discloses an apparatus and method for the laser cutting of cells.

The condenser lens is vibrated in the axial direction of the laser beam. In addition the stage of the workpiece vibrates.

Kimura et al. does not teach the type of laser, the formation of a hole, the use of a spherical lens or the formation of a surgical needle.

Bosch discloses the laser drilling of small holes in a metal part. The laser microdrills the metal to form boreholes. Drilling material (debris) is ejected due to the vibration of the workpiece. Vibration is transmitted to the ram (12, 13) and subsequently the work holder (3). The oscillation generator is connected (9).

Takahashi disclose the use of vibration and a laser to find defects ultrasonically.

One half of the parallel rays of the light are projected on the surface of the specimen by a half mirror and another half of the parallel rays of light are projected on the surface of the specimen by a spherical lens.

10/718,122 Art Unit: 1793

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a vibrating workpiece as taught by Kimura et al. and Bosch because this deals with small systems which are prone to vibration and debris removal problems. In addition, the spherical lens as taught by Takahashi is merely a specific type of lens used in laser system and hence is a design choice and variation (design variation and rearrangement of parts is known in the art).

Mosavi et al. discloses forming holes in a surgical needle using an Nd-YAG laser.

High-energy laser pulses form a blind hole in the proximal end of a surgical needle.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to make surgical needles, as taught by Mosavi et al., in the Kimura et al. and Bosch system because the vibrational system ensures a high quality bore hole.

The rearrangement of parts was held to have been obvious. In re Japikse 86 USPQ 70.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 8/21/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's arguments with respect to Fillion and the claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

10/718,122 Art Unit: 1793

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Alexandra Elve whose telephone number is 571-272-1173. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-4:00 Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jonathan Johnson can be reached on 571-272-1177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/718,122 Art Unit: 1793

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

November 12, 2007.

/M. Alexandra Elve/ M. Alexandra Elve Primary Examiner 1793